

## On Semiprime Gamma Near-Rings with Perpendicular Generalized 3-Derivations

Ikram A. Saed

Department of Applied Sciences  
University of Technology , Baghdad , Iraq .  
Email : [ikramsaed1962@gmail.com](mailto:ikramsaed1962@gmail.com)

Received : 11\2\2019

Revised : 21 \ 2 \ 2019

Accepted : 27\2\2019

Available online : 23 /4/2019

### Abstract:

In this paper , we introduce the notion of perpendicular generalized 3-derivations in semiprime gamma near-rings and present several necessary and sufficient conditions for generalized 3-derivations on semiprime gamma near-rings to be perpendicular .

**KeyWords:** Semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near-ring , 3-derivations , Generalized 3-derivations , perpendicular 3-derivations , perpendicular generalized 3-derivations .

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16A70, 16N60 , 16W25 .

**Introduction**

This paper consists of two sections . In section one , we recall some known definitions and necessary lemmas that we will use it later in this paper . In section two , we begin by introducing definition of perpendicular generalized 3-derivations in  $\Gamma$ -near-rings. Furthermore , several conditions are given to make the two generalized 3-derivations perpendicular .

**1. Basic Concept**

**Definition 1.1:[2]**

A right near-ring ( resp. a left near-ring ) is a nonempty set  $N$  equipped with two binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  such that

- (i)( $N, +$ ) is a group (not necessarily abelian)
- (ii) ( $N, \cdot$ ) is a semigroup
- (iii) For  $x, y, z \in N$ , we have  $(x + y)z = xz + yz$  ( resp.  $z(x + y) = zx + zy$  )

**Example 1.2 :[2]**

Let  $G$  be a group ( not necessarily abelian ) then all mapping of  $G$  into itself form a right near-ring  $M(G)$  with regard to point wise addition and multiplication by composite .

**Definition 1.3:[2]**

Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be additive abelian groups . If there exists a mapping  $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$  :

$(a, \alpha, b) \rightarrow a \alpha b$  which satisfies the conditions : for every  $a, b, c \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

- (i)  $(a + b) \alpha c = a \alpha c + b \alpha c$   
 $a (\alpha + \beta) b = a \alpha b + a \beta b$   
 $a \alpha (b + c) = a \alpha b + a \alpha c$
- (ii)  $(a \alpha b) \beta c = a \alpha (b \beta c)$

Then  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring .

**Example 1.4 :[2]**

Let  $R$  be a ring , the additive abelian groups  $M = M_{2 \times 3}(R)$  and  $\Gamma = M_{3 \times 2}(R)$  denotes the sets of all  $2 \times 3$  matrices over  $R$  and  $3 \times 2$  matrices over  $R$  respectively . Then  $M$  is  $\Gamma$ -ring .

**Definition 1.5:[5]**

A  $\Gamma$ -near-ring is a triple  $(N, +, \Gamma)$  where

- (i)  $(N, +)$  is a group (not necessarily abelian)

- (ii)  $\Gamma$  is a non-empty set of binary operations on  $N$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma, (N, +, \alpha)$  is a left near-ring .

- (iii)  $x \alpha (y \beta z) = (x \alpha y) \beta z$  for all  $x, y, z \in N, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  .

**Definition 1.6:[5]**

Let  $W$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near-ring , the set  $W_0 = \{ x \in W : 0 \alpha x = 0, \alpha \in \Gamma \}$  is said to be zero-symmetric part of  $W$  . A  $\Gamma$ -near-ring  $W$  is called zero-symmetric if  $W = W_0$  .

**Definition 1.7:[5]**

A  $\Gamma$ -near-ring  $W$  is said to be a prime  $\Gamma$ -near-ring when  $W$  satisfy the following for  $a, b \in W, a \Gamma W \Gamma b = \{0\}$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$

**Definition 1.8:[5]**

A  $\Gamma$ -near-ring  $W$  is said to be a semiprime when  $W$  satisfy the following for  $a \in W, a \Gamma W \Gamma a = \{0\}$  implies  $a = 0$  .

**Definition 1.9:[5]**

A  $\Gamma$ -near-ring  $W$  is said to be 2-torsion free if for all  $x \in W, 2x = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  .

**Definition 1.10:[3]**

Let  $W$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near-ring . An additive map  $T : W \rightarrow W$  is said to be a derivation if  $T(a \alpha b) = T(a) \alpha b + a \alpha T(b)$  for every  $a, b \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 1.11:[3]**

Let  $W$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near-ring and  $D : W \rightarrow W$  be an additive map . If there exists a derivation  $d : W \rightarrow W$  such that  $D(x \alpha y) = D(x) \alpha y + x \alpha d(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$  , then  $D$  is called a generalized derivation.

**Definition 1.12:[1]**

Suppose that  $W$  is a near-ring . An 3-additive mapping  $d : W \times W \times W \rightarrow W$  is called 3-derivation if the relations :

$$d(s_1 s_1', s_2, s_3) = d(s_1, s_2, s_3) s_1' + s_1 d(s_1', s_2, s_3)$$

$$d(s_1, s_2 s_2', s_3) = d(s_1, s_2, s_3) s_2' + s_2 d(s_1, s_2', s_3)$$

$$d(s_1, s_2, s_3 s_3') = d(s_1, s_2, s_3) s_3' + s_3 d(s_1, s_2, s_3')$$

hold for all  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_2', s_3, s_3' \in W$  .

**Example 1.13 :[1]**

Let  $S$  be a commutative near-ring .  
Let us define

$$W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & u \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : r, u, 0 \in S \right\}.$$

And  $d : W \times W \times W \rightarrow W$

$$d \left( \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} r_2 & u_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} r_3 & u_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_1 r_2 r_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $d$  is 3-derivation of  $W$ .

**Definition 1.14:[1]**

Suppose that  $W$  is a near-ring and  $d$  be 3-derivation of  $W$ . An 3-additive mapping  $f : W \times W \times W \rightarrow W$  is said to be generalized 3-derivation of  $W$  associated with  $d$  if the relations

$$\begin{aligned} f(s_1 s_1', s_2, s_3) &= f(s_1, s_2, s_3) s_1' + s_1 d(s_1', s_2, s_3) \\ f(s_1, s_2 s_2', s_3) &= f(s_1, s_2, s_3) s_2' + s_2 d(s_1, s_2', s_3) \\ f(s_1, s_2, s_3 s_3') &= f(s_1, s_2, s_3) s_3' + s_3 d(s_1, s_2, s_3') \end{aligned}$$

hold for all  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_2', s_3, s_3' \in W$ .

**Example 1.15 :[1]**

Let  $S$  be a commutative near-ring .

Let us define

$$W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} : r, u, 0 \in S \right\}.$$

And  $d, f : W \times W \times W \rightarrow W$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_1 \\ 0 & u_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_2 \\ 0 & u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_3 \\ 0 & u_3 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_1 r_2 r_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_1 \\ 0 & u_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_2 \\ 0 & u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_3 \\ 0 & u_3 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_1 u_2 u_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $f$  is a generalized 3-derivation of  $W$ .

**Lemma 1.16 :[4]**

Let  $W$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near-ring and  $a, b \in W$ . When

- (i)  $a\alpha x \beta b = 0$  for all  $x \in W$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .
- (ii)  $b\alpha x \beta a = 0$  for all  $x \in W$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .
- (iii)  $a\alpha x \beta b + b\alpha x \beta a = 0$  for all  $x \in W$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

are equivalent When one of the above is satisfied, implies  $a \Gamma b = b \Gamma a = 0$ .

**Lemma 1.17 :[4]**

Assume that  $W$  is a  $\Gamma$ -near-ring and  $D$  be a generalized derivation of  $W$ . When the next conditions are satisfied :

$$(i) (D(x)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y)) \beta z = D(x) \alpha y \beta z + x\alpha d(y) \beta z$$

For every  $x, y, z \in W$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

$$(ii) (d(x)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y)) \beta z = d(x) \alpha y \beta z + x\alpha d(y) \beta z$$

for every  $x, y, z \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**2. Perpendicular Generalized 3-Derivations**

First we introduce the basic definition in this paper

**Definition 2.1:**

Let  $W$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near-ring and  $P, Q$  be two generalized 3-derivations of  $W$ .  $P$  and  $Q$  are called perpendicular if next relation

$$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma W \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0 = Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Gamma W \Gamma P(s_1, s_2, s_3)$$

holds for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .

**Lemma 2.2:**

Assume that  $W$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near-ring and  $P, Q$  are two generalized 3-derivations of  $W$  with associated 3-derivations  $p$  and  $q$  of  $W$  respectively. When  $p$  and  $q$  are perpendicular, so next conditions are satisfied :

- (i)  $P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma P(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
For every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .
- (ii)  $p$  and  $q$  are perpendicular and  $p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Gamma p(s_1, s_2, s_3) = 0$   
for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .
- (iii)  $q$  and  $P$  are perpendicular and  $q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma P(n_1, n_2, n_3) = P(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Gamma q(s_1, s_2, s_3) = 0$   
for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .
- (iv)  $p$  and  $q$  are perpendicular and  $p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$  for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$
- (v)  $p(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = Q(p(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$ , and  $q(P(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = P(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .

(vi)  $Q(P(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .

**Proof:**

(i) Since P and Q are perpendicular, implies that

$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$  for every  $s, s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

By Lemma 1.17 we get

$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha P(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$  for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

(ii) by (i) we get

$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $s_1$  by  $s_1' \beta s_1$ , where  $s_1' \in W$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in previous equation and using Lemma 1.17 we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P(s_1' \beta s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= [P(s_1', s_2, s_3) \beta s_1 + s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3)] \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= P(s_1', s_2, s_3) \beta s_1 \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &\quad + s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s_1', s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Since W is semiprime we get

$p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Now replacing  $s_1$  by  $s_1 \beta s_1'$ , where  $s_1' \in W$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in previous equation and using Lemma 1.17 (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p(s_1 \beta s_1', s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= [p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \beta s_1' + s_1 \beta p(s_1', s_2, s_3)] \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \beta s_1' \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &\quad + s_1 \beta p(s_1', s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \beta s_1' \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s_1', s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

By Lemma 1.16 we obtain

$$p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \Gamma p(s_1, s_2, s_3) = 0$$

for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .

(iii) The proof is similar to (ii)

(iv) By (i) we get

$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .  
Replacing  $s_1$  by  $s_1' \beta s_1$ , where  $s_1' \in W$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in previous equation and using Lemma 1.17 we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P(s_1' \beta s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= [P(s_1', s_2, s_3) \beta s_1 + s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3)] \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= P(s_1', s_2, s_3) \beta s_1 \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &\quad + s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

For every  $s_1', s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $n_1$  by  $n_1 \delta n_1'$ , where  $n_1' \in W$  and  $\delta \in \Gamma$  in previous equation and using (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1 \delta n_1', n_2, n_3) \\ &= s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha [Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \delta n_1' + n_1 \delta q(n_1', n_2, n_3)] \\ &= s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \delta n_1' \\ &\quad + s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha n_1 \delta q(n_1', n_2, n_3) \\ &= s_1' \beta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha n_1 \delta q(n_1', n_2, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s_1', s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_1', n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ .

Semiprimeness of W implies

$p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha n_1 \delta q(n_1', n_2, n_3) = 0$   
for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_1', n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \delta \in \Gamma$ .

That is p and q are perpendicular, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p(p(v_1, v_2, v_3) \alpha n_1 \beta q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \\ &= p(p(v_1, v_2, v_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha n_1 \beta q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &\quad + p(v_1, v_2, v_3) \alpha p(n_1 \beta q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3, v_1, v_2, v_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Since p, q are perpendicular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p(v_1, v_2, v_3) \alpha p(n_1 \beta q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \\ &= p(v_1, v_2, v_3) \alpha p(n_1, n_2, n_3) \beta q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &\quad + p(v_1, v_2, v_3) \alpha n_1 \beta p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

Since p, q are perpendicular, we conclude

$$p(v_1, v_2, v_3) \alpha n_1 \beta p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$$

for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3, v_1, v_2, v_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $v_1$  by  $q(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  and  $v_2$  by  $n_2, v_3$  by  $n_3$ , implies  
 $p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \Gamma W \Gamma p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = \{0\}$   
 for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$ . Semiprimeness of  $W$  implies that  
 $p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$  for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .

Now we prove part 5 and part 6  
 Using part 2 and part 4, we have  
 $0 = Q(p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta Q(n_1, n_2, n_3), n_2, n_3)$   
 $= Q(p(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha s \beta Q(n_1, n_2, n_3)$   
 $+ p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha q(s \beta Q(n_1, n_2, n_3), n_2, n_3)$   
 $= Q(p(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha s \beta Q(n_1, n_2, n_3)$   
 for all  $s, s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $n_1$  by  $p(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ , we get  
 $Q(p(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha W \beta Q(p(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = \{0\}$   
 Semiprimeness of  $W$  implies that  
 $Q(p(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$  for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .

Similarly, we see that since  
 $p(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta p(n_1, n_2, n_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 $P(q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta P(n_1, n_2, n_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 $q(P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta q(n_1, n_2, n_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 $P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta P(n_1, n_2, n_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 $Q(P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta Q(n_1, n_2, n_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 Hold for every  $s, s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

we get  
 $p(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 $P(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 $q(P(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 $P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$  and  
 $Q(P(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .

**Theorem 2.3 :**

Assume that  $W$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near-ring and  $P, Q$  are two generalized 3-derivations of  $W$  with associated 3-derivations  $p$  and  $q$  of  $W$  respectively, then the next conditions are satisfied :

(i)  $P$  and  $Q$  are perpendicular.

(ii)  $P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .  
 (iii)  $P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 And  $p(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .  
 (iv)  $P(Q(s_1 \alpha s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha s_1' + s_1 \alpha p(q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3)$   
 and  
 $P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 for all  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof :**

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) are proved in Lemma 2.2 (i), (ii), (iv) and (v).

On the other hand, (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) is obtained from Lemma 2.2 (i), (iv) and (vi). (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) we have

$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .  
 Now replacing  $s_1$  by  $s_1 \beta s_1'$ , where  $s_1' \in W$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in previous equation, we get  
 $P(s_1 \beta s_1', s_2, s_3) \Gamma Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 for all  $s_1', s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \beta \in \Gamma$ .  
 Using hypothesis and Lemma 1.17 in last equation, we get  
 $0 = [P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \beta s_1' + s_1 \beta p(s_1', s_2, s_3)] \alpha G(n_1, n_2, n_3)$   
 $= P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \beta s_1' \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3)$   
 $+ s_1 \beta p(s_1', s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3)$   
 $= P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \beta s_1' \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3)$   
 for all  $s_1', s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Hence Lemma 1.16 obtain the result.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) we have

$p(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$ .

Replacing  $s_1$  by  $s_1 \alpha s_1'$ , where  $s_1' \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  in the equation

$p(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$ , we get  
 $0 = p(Q(s_1 \alpha s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= p(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1', n_2, n_3) + p(s_1 \alpha q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \\
 &= p(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1' + Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1', n_2, n_3) + p(s_1, n_2, n_3) \alpha q(s_1', s_2, s_3) + s_1 \alpha p(q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3)) \\
 &= Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1', n_2, n_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

For every  $s_1', s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .  
 Replacing  $s_1'$  by  $s_1' \beta v$ , where  $v \in W$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in previous equation and using it again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1' \beta v, n_2, n_3) \\
 &= Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1', n_2, n_3) \beta v + Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1' \beta p(v, n_2, n_3) \\
 &= Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1' \beta p(v, n_2, n_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $v, s_1', s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Hence by Lemma 1.16, we have

$$p(v, n_2, n_3) \alpha Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) = 0$$

for every  $v, s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Then (i) follows from (ii)

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) by assumption we have

$$P(Q(s_1 \alpha s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha s_1' + s_1 \alpha p(q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3)$$

for all  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

And we also obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P(Q(s_1 \alpha s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \\
 &= P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1' + s_1 \alpha q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \\
 &= P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1', n_2, n_3) + P(s_1 \alpha q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \\
 &= P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha s_1' + Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1', n_2, n_3) + \\
 &P(s_1, n_2, n_3) \alpha q(s_1', s_2, s_3) + s_1 \alpha p(q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Comparing the above two expression of

$P(Q(s_1 \alpha s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3)$  we get

$$Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1', n_2, n_3) + P(s_1, n_2, n_3) \alpha q(s_1', s_2, s_3) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for every  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Also by assumption we have

$$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $n_1$  by  $n_1 \beta n_1'$  in (2.2) and using it again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1 \beta n_1', n_2, n_3) \\
 &= P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha Q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \beta n_1' + P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha n_1 \beta q(n_1', n_2, n_3) \\
 &= P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha n_1 \beta q(n_1', n_2, n_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

For every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_1', n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Thus it follows from Lemma 1.16 that

$$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha q(n_1', n_2, n_3) = 0$$

For every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1', n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . (2.3)

Substituting equation (2.3) in the equation (2.1) yields

$$Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1', n_2, n_3) = 0$$

For every  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing  $s_1'$  by  $s_1' \beta v$ , where  $v \in W$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in previous equation and using it again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1' \beta v, n_2, n_3) \\
 &= Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1', n_2, n_3) \beta v + Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1' \beta p(v, n_2, n_3) \\
 &= Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1' \beta p(v, n_2, n_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $v, s_1', s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Hence by Lemma 1.16, we have

$$p(v, n_2, n_3) \alpha Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) = 0$$

for every  $v, s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$

Hence by (ii), gives the result.

#### Theorem 2.4 :

Assume that  $W$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near-ring and  $P, Q$  are two generalized 3-derivations of  $W$  with associated 3-derivations  $p$  and  $q$  of  $W$  respectively. If  $P$  and  $q$  are perpendicular and  $Q$  and  $p$  are perpendicular, then we have

(i)  $p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$  and

$$P(Q(s_1 \alpha s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha s_1'$$

for all  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

(ii)  $q(p(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$  and

$$Q(P(s_1 \alpha s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = Q(P(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha s_1'$$

for all  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof :**

(i) since P and q are perpendicular , we have  
 $P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$   
 for all  $s, s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  .

Replacing  $s_1$  by  $s_1' \delta s_1$  in above equation , by Lemma 2.2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P(s_1' \delta s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= P(s_1', s_2, s_3) \delta s_1 \alpha s \beta q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &+ s_1' \delta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ &= s_1' \delta p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta q(n_1, n_2, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s, s_1, s_1', s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$  .

Semiprimeness of W yields that

$$p(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s \beta q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0$$

for every  $s, s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  .

Thus p and q are perpendicular

By Theorem 2.3 , we have

$$p(q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = 0$$

for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W$  . (2.4)

Since P and q are perpendicular and Q and p are perpendicular, we have

$$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha q(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for every  $s_1, s_2, s_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$  .

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} &P(Q(s_1 \alpha s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \\ &= P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1' + s_1 \alpha q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \\ &= P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha s_1', n_2, n_3) + P(s_1 \alpha q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \\ &= P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha s_1' + Q(s_1, s_2, s_3) \alpha p(s_1', n_2, n_3) + \\ &P(s_1, n_2, n_3) \alpha q(s_1', s_2, s_3) + s_1 \alpha p(q(s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

By using relation (2.4) , (2.5) and (2.6) in the last equation , we get

$$P(Q(s_1 \alpha s_1', s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) = P(Q(s_1, s_2, s_3), n_2, n_3) \alpha s_1'$$

for all  $s_1, s_1', s_2, s_3, n_2, n_3 \in W, \alpha \in \Gamma$  .

(ii)The proof is the same way to the proof of (i)

**References**

- [1] E. F. Adhab , 2016 . n-Additive Mappings in Prime and Semiprime Near-Rings , PHD. Thesis , Baghdad University , Iraq .
- [2] I. N. Herstein , 1969 . Topics in Ring Theory , University of Chicago Press , Chicago .
- [3] K.K. Dey , A. C. paul and I. S. Rakhimov , 2012 . On prime gamma-near-rings with generalized derivations , International Journal of Math. and Math. Sci. , doi: 10.1155/2012/625968 .
- [4] K. K. Dey , A. C. Paul and I. S. Rakhimov , 2012 . Orthogonal Generalized Derivations in Semiprime Gamma Near-Rings , International Journal of Algebra, 6(23) : 1127-1134 .
- [5] W. E. Barnes , 1966 . On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nabusawa ,Pacific J. Math. , 18 : 411-422 .

## على الحلقات المقتربة كما شبه الاولية مع الاشتقاقات - 3 المعممة المتعامدة

اكرام احمد سعيد  
قسم العلوم التطبيقية  
الجامعة التكنولوجية  
بغداد ، العراق

### المستخلص:

في هذا البحث قدمنا مفهوم الاشتقاقات - 3 المعممة المتعامدة في الحلقات المقتربة كما شبه الاولية وقدمنا العديد من الشروط الكافية والضرورية للاشتقاقات - 3 المعممة على الحلقات المقتربة كما شبه الاولية لتصبح متعامدة .

### الكلمات المفتاحية:

الحلقة المقتربة كما شبه الاولية ، الاشتقاقات -3 ، الاشتقاقات -3 المعممة ، الاشتقاقات -3 المتعامدة ، الاشتقاقات -3 المعممة المتعامدة .